

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/612,697	07/02/2003	Kirakodu Nanjundaswamy	DCL2012/M5009	4413
75	90 07/22/2005		EXAM	INER
Barry D. Josep Attorney At Lav			WEINER,	LAURA S
19 North St.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Salem, MA 01970			1745	
•			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		15				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/612,697	NANJUNDASWAMY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Laura S. Weiner	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dawill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		ļ				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 J	uly 2003.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 8-14 and 16 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 17-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		``				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been received in Received.	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-2-03.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/612,697

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4, 6, 17-19, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ilic et al. (5,158,722).

llic et al. teaches in the abstract, positive electrodes for primary and secondary cells wherein the positive active material comprising MnO2, FeS2, CuO, Cu2V2O7 and LixMnOy.

3. Claims 1, 3, 7, 17, 20-21, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Isoyama et al. (6,093,503).

Isoyama et al. teaches in column 5, lines 20-35, that the positive electrode material includes carbon materials, metal oxide materials and conductive polymer materials. Preferably it comprises a metallic compound such as LiMn2O4, LixMnO2, Li2Mn3O4, Cu2V2O7, MnO2, etc. The conductive agent includes carbon materials. Isoyama et al. teaches in column 34, lines 58-61, that the positive active material comprises 90% by weight of metallic compound comprising LiMn2O4 and 6 % by weight of carbon.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,697

Art Unit: 1745

4. Claims 1-3, 17-18, 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakanishi et al. (6,096,234).

Nakanishi et al. teaches in column 19, lines 30-55, that the positive electrode can contain graphite, MnO2, Cu2V2O7, etc. Nakanishi et al. teaches in column 1, that the battery can be a primary or secondary cells. The active material is added in an amount of 40 wt% to 90 wt%.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ilic et al. (5,158,722) or Nakanishi et al. (6,096,234).

llic et al. teaches in the abstract, positive electrodes for primary and secondary cells wherein the positive active material comprising MnO2, FeS2, CuO, Cu2V2O7 and LixMnOy.

Nakanishi et al. teaches in column 19, lines 30-55, that the positive electrode can contain graphite, MnO2, Cu2V2O7, etc. Nakanishi et al. teaches in column 1, that the battery can be a primary or secondary cells. The active material is added in an

Art Unit: 1745

amount of 40 wt% to 90 wt%.

In the event any differences can be shown for the product of the product by process claims 5 and 22, as opposed to the product taught by Ilic et al. or Nakanishi et al., such differences would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a routine modification of the product in the absence of a showing of unexpected results. In re Thrope 227 USPQ 964; (Fed. Cir. 1985).

With respect to the product by process claims 5 and 22, the determination of patentability is based upon the product itself not upon the method of its production. *In re Thrope 227 USPQ 964; In re Brown 173 USPQ 685; In re Bridgeford 149 USPQ 55; In re Wertheim 191 USPQ 90.* Any difference imparted by the product by process limitations would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because where the Examiner has found a substantially similar product as in the applied prior art, the burden of proof is shifted to the Applicants to establish that their product is patentably distinct. *In re Brown 173 USPQ 685 and In re Fessmann 180 USPQ 324.*

6. Claims 4, 6, 19, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Isoyama et al. (6,093,503).

Isoyama et al. teaches in column 5, lines 20-35, that the positive electrode material includes carbon materials, metal oxide materials and conductive polymer materials. Preferably it comprises a metallic compound such as LiMn2O4, LixMnO2, Li2Mn3O4, Cu2V2O7, MnO2, etc. The conductive agent includes carbon materials.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,697 Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

Isoyama et al. teaches in column 34, lines 58-61, that the positive active material comprises 90% by weight of metallic compound comprising LiMn2O4 and 6 % by weight of carbon.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use two metallic compounds such as Cu2V2O7 and MnO2 or Cu2V2O7 and (LiMn2O4 or LixMnO2 or Li2Mn3O4) in the positive electrode material taught by Isoyama et al. because it is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by prior art to be useful for the same purpose in order to form a third composition that is to be used for the very same purpose. See *In re Kerkhoven*, 205 USPQ 1069; *In re Susi*, 169 USPQ 423.

Double Patenting

7. Claim 15 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 11. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 8-14, 16 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura S. Weiner whose telephone number is 571-272-1294. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Laura S Weiner Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

July 20, 2005